

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-7, 13, 16-20, 23-29, 34 and 36 were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being anticipated by Horton, et al. Horton is a system and method for sharing reference signals within a wireless mobile terminal between a wireless transceiver and a global positioning system receiver. Horton, et al., does not teach the features of dependent claim 11, a tunable oscillator configured to produce a second reference signal, the communications device further comprising a second receiver configured to recover a second information signal from a second carrier using the second reference signal, the second receiver being configured to operate in an active state and an idle state, and wherein the first and second thresholds are a function of the state of the second receiver. Further Horton, et al., fails to teach the feature of claim 8, the processor is further configured to enable the tuning of the oscillator if the frequency error crosses a first threshold, and once enabled, continue tuning the oscillator until the frequency error is reduced below a second threshold. Claims 17, 21, 30, and 33 contain similar features. The Examiner stated that claims 11-12, 21-22 and 33 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations in the intervening claims. To comply with the suggestion by the Examiner independent claim 1 was amended to include the features of claims 11 and 8 (intervening claim), independent claim 13 was amended to include the features of claims 21 and 17 (intervening claim) and independent claim 23 was amended to include the features of claims 33 and 30 (intervening claim). Claims 8, 11, 17, 21, 30, 33 and 34-36 have been canceled. Further, several amendments to the claims have been made for consistency. No new matter was added. Thus, with these amendments, the remaining claims are allowable.

Claims 8-10, 14-16, 30-32 and 35 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable of Horton, et al., in view of Justice, et al. Claims 8, 30 and 35 have been canceled. Further, Horton, et al., was previously discussed. Each of the claims under this rejection are dependent claims. Due to the allowability of the independent claims, these dependent claims are also allowable.

**REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE**

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that all pending claims in the application are patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 09 March 2007

By: /Andrea L. Mays/  
Andrea L. Mays  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 43,721

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121  
Telephone: (858) 651-8546  
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502